## University of California, San Diego

# **Academic Integrity Office**

Annual Statistical Summary for Academic Year

2019 - 2020



#### I. Introduction & Background

The following information is a summary report of the academic integrity cases received during the 2019 - 2020 academic year. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy and completeness of this data, it is important to note that there may be some errors or inconsistencies. These could arise from various factors such as data entry errors, reporting discrepancies, or updates to case statuses after the data was compiled. We recommend interpreting the findings with these potential limitations in mind. We are continually working to improve our data collection and reporting processes to provide the most accurate and up-to-date information possible. For additional information on data collection and categorization see the Glossary on the AIO website.

Total Reports Received	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Over the Past 5 Years	921	825	845	1041	1886

Total Reports Received by	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*
Quarter for 2019 - 2020	302	649	793	77	56	9

#### **II. Sanctioning Guidelines**

The Administrative Sanctioning Guidelines aim to establish a structured, consistent, and transparent approach to decision-making, emphasizing desired behaviors and allowing for professional judgment. Disciplinary actions are based on a point value system derived from objective criteria. The focus is to provide an objective baseline for disciplinary actions and counsel students on the importance of academic integrity and the escalating severity of violations throughout their academic and professional progression.

Violation History	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
1st	276	611	715	59	47	1	91%
2nd	23	36	68	14	9	0	8%
3rd+	3	2	10	4	0	1	1%

Violation Type	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Minor	110	115	259	12	13	7	27%
Moderate	132	106	175	24	23	1	24%
Serious	47	413	283	36	17	1	42%
Egregious	13	15	76	5	3	0	6%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Minor	Total	%
HW/Assignment Misconduct	355	19%
Limited Plagiarism	104	6%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Moderate	Total	%
Extensive Plagiarism	342	18%
Exam (Less than 20%)	117	6%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Serious	Total	%
Exam (>10%)	791	42%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Egregious	Total	%
Contract Cheating	92	5%

Student Academic Level	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Undergraduate	290	595	784	77	56	9	96%
Masters	9	47	8	0	0	0	3%
Ph.D.	3	7	1	0	0	0	1%

Proposed Disciplinary Action	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Warning	2	14	5	2	2	0	1%
Disciplinary Probation	188	216	385	27	29	7	45%
Quarter Suspension	96	388	311	37	21	2	45%
Year Suspension	13	30	86	11	4	0	1%
Dismissal	3	1	6	0	0	0	8%

#### III. Resolution Meetings & Outcomes of Academic Integrity Allegations

Except in the event of an Instructor-Student Resolution, once a student has been reported to the AIO, the case is assigned to a Resolution Appropriate Administrative Authority (Resolution AAA) who notifies the student to schedule a Resolution Meeting. At this meeting, there are three (3) possible outcomes:

- 1. the student accepts responsibility or fails to schedule their meeting and is assumed to have accepted responsibility;
- 2. the student does not accept responsibility but the evidence is sufficient, so the case proceeds to the <u>Academic Integrity</u> <u>Review</u> process. In this situation, it will be the AI Review Panel who will make a decision of the case, either holding the student responsible for an academic integrity violation or not;
- 3. the student does not accept responsibility and presents some relevant evidence that the Resolution AAA shares with the Instructor who subsequently withdraws the allegation.

Resolution AAA Type	College Dean of Student Affairs	Assistant Dean, GEPA	Academic Integrity Office	
	755 (40%)	23 (1%)	1110 (59%)	

Overall Resolution Outcom	%	
Responsible	1545	82%
Not Responsible	341	18%

Total Cases by Assigned Res	%		
Informal Resolution	Accepted Responsibility	972	51%
	I-S Resolution	291	15%
	Failure to Respond	77	4%
	Allegation Withdrawn	216	11%
Formal Resolution	Contested the Allegation	330	17%
	Held Responsible	205	11%
	Held Not Responsible	125	7%

Assigned Disciplinary Action	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Warning	5	7	43	1	2	0	4%
Disciplinary Probation	185	378	331	33	34	6	63%
Deferred Suspension w/ IMP	37	104	183	14	9	1	23%
Quarter Suspension	19	44	57	12	3	1	9%
Year Suspension	4	5	15	2	0	0	2%
Dismissal	0	1	1	1	0	0	0%
Concurrent	0	1	1	0	0	1	0%

Business Days to Resolve	Average	Min	Max
Accepted Responsibility	24	1	258
Failure to Respond	35	11	105
Allegation Withdrawn	70	4	252
Al Review Board	169	34	421
AIR I	160	34	311
AIR II	178	55	421

### IV. Appeals

During the AI process, students may be eligible for two types of appeals: (1) AI Review Board Decision; and (2) Administrative Sanction (if suspension or dismissal is assigned). Students must meet specific criteria to be eligible for each appeal type and only one appeal may be submitted per type. Once a decision has been made, the outcome is final. Learn more about <u>appeals on the AIO website</u>.

Total Appeals Received by Type	AIRB Appeal	Sanction Appeal
	59	76

Al Review Board Appeal Outcomes							
Туре	AIR Decision Upheld	%	New AIR Granted	%	No Appeal Received	%	
AIR I	25	22%	0	0%	87	78%	
AIR II	30	32%	4	4%	61	64%	

Sanction Appeal Outcomes								
Туре	Denied (No Change)	%	Reduced	%	Qtr Change	%	No Appeal Received	%
Deferred Susp w/ IMP	10	3%	0	0%	0	0%	332	97%
Quarter Suspension	34	28%	4	3%	12	10%	72	59%
Year Suspension	13	52%	0	0%	1	4%	11	44%
Dismissal	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%