



## I. Introduction & Background

The following information is a summary report of the academic integrity cases received during the 2020 - 2021 academic year. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy and completeness of this data, it is important to note that there may be some errors or inconsistencies. These could arise from various factors such as data entry errors, reporting discrepancies, or updates to case statuses after the data was compiled. We recommend interpreting the findings with these potential limitations in mind. We are continually working to improve our data collection and reporting processes to provide the most accurate and up-to-date information possible. For additional information on data collection and categorization see the Glossary on the AIO website.

Total Reports Received Over the Past 5 Years	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
	825	845	1041	1886	1719

Total Reports Received by Quarter for 2020 - 2021	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*
	540	661	431	46	33	8

## II. Sanctioning Guidelines

The Administrative Sanctioning Guidelines aim to establish a structured, consistent, and transparent approach to decision-making, emphasizing desired behaviors and allowing for professional judgment. Disciplinary actions are based on a point value system derived from objective criteria. The focus is to provide an objective baseline for disciplinary actions and counsel students on the importance of academic integrity and the escalating severity of violations throughout their academic and professional progression.

Violation History	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
1st	498	592	379	36	26	6	89%
2nd	40	61	43	6	6	2	9%
3rd+	4	7	9	4	1	1	2%

Violation Type	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Minor	224	205	153	16	13	3	36%
Moderate	124	174	71	6	4	1	22%
Serious	155	226	181	16	13	0	34%
Egregious	37	56	26	8	3	4	8%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Minor	Total	%
HW/Assignment Misconduct	491	29%
Limited Plagiarism	87	5%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Moderate	Total	%
Extensive Plagiarism	278	16%
Exam (<10%)	73	4%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Serious	Total	%
Exam (>10%)	591	34%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Egregious	Total	%
Contract Cheating	113	7%

Student Academic Level	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Undergraduate	511	650	427	44	33	8	97%
Masters	27	9	2	2	0	0	2%
Ph.D.	2	2	2	0	0	0	0%

Proposed Disciplinary Action	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Warning	23	46	2	1	0	0	4%
Disciplinary Probation	360	416	207	18	15	3	60%
Quarter Suspension	117	136	181	19	15	1	27%
Year Suspension	36	57	39	5	3	3	8%
Dismissal	4	6	2	3	0	1	1%

### III. Resolution Meetings & Outcomes of Academic Integrity Allegations

Except in the event of an Instructor-Student Resolution, once a student has been reported to the AIO, the case is assigned to a Resolution Appropriate Administrative Authority (Resolution AAA) who notifies the student to schedule a Resolution Meeting. At this meeting, there are three (3) possible outcomes:

1. the student accepts responsibility or fails to schedule their meeting and is assumed to have accepted responsibility;
2. the student does not accept responsibility but the evidence is sufficient, so the case proceeds to the [Academic Integrity Review](#) process. In this situation, it will be the AI Review Panel who will make a decision of the case, either holding the student responsible for an academic integrity violation or not;
3. the student does not accept responsibility and presents some relevant evidence that the Resolution AAA shares with the Instructor who subsequently withdraws the allegation.

Resolution AAA Type	College Dean of Student Affairs	Assistant Dean, GEPA	Academic Integrity Office
	653 (38%)	20 (1%)	1048 (61%)

Overall Resolution Outcome		%
Responsible	1536	89%
Not Responsible	185	11%

Total Cases by Assigned Resolution AAA Type		%	
Informal Resolution	Accepted Responsibility	1022	76%
	<i>I-S Resolution</i>	142	8%
	<i>Failure to Respond</i>	146	8%
	Allegation Withdrawn	104	6%
Formal Resolution	Contested the Allegation	307	18%
	<i>Held Responsible</i>	226	13%
	<i>Held Not Responsible</i>	81	5%

Assigned Disciplinary Action	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Warning	24	55	6	1	0	0	6%
Disciplinary Probation	358	400	235	23	16	4	67%
Deferred Suspension w/ IMP	27	32	44	3	6	0	7%
Quarter Suspension	61	84	54	4	4	1	14%
Year Suspension	16	21	22	7	3	2	5%
Dismissal	1	1	5	1	1	1	1%
Concurrent	9	3	1	0	0	0	1%

Business Days to Resolve	Average	Min	Max
Accepted Responsibility	24	1	282
Failure to Respond	41	5	180
Allegation Withdrawn	30	4	210
AI Review Board	124	23	350
<i>AIR I</i>	115	23	350
<i>AIR II</i>	140	44	283

#### IV. Appeals

During the AI process, students may be eligible for two types of appeals: (1) AI Review Board Decision; and (2) Administrative Sanction (if suspension or dismissal is assigned). Students must meet specific criteria to be eligible for each appeal type and only one appeal may be submitted per type. Once a decision has been made, the outcome is final. Learn more about [appeals on the AIO website](#).

Total Appeals Received by Type	AIRB Appeal	Sanction Appeal
	57	86

AI Review Board Appeal Outcomes						
Type	AIR Decision Upheld	%	New AIR Granted	%	No Appeal Received	%
AIR I	37	24%	0	0%	118	76%
AIR II	18	25%	2	3%	51	72%

Sanction Appeal Outcomes								
Type	Denied (No Change)	%	Reduced	%	Qtr Change	%	No Appeal Received	%
Deferred Susp w/ IMP	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	120	100%
Quarter Suspension	53	36%	14	9%	0	0%	81	55%
Year Suspension	13	23%	4	7%	1	2%	38	68%
Dismissal	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	9	90%