



I. Introduction & Background

The following information is a summary report of the academic integrity cases received during the 2021 - 2022 academic year. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy and completeness of this data, it is important to note that there may be some errors or inconsistencies. These could arise from various factors such as data entry errors, reporting discrepancies, or updates to case statuses after the data was compiled. We recommend interpreting the findings with these potential limitations in mind. We are continually working to improve our data collection and reporting processes to provide the most accurate and up-to-date information possible. For additional information on data collection and categorization see the Glossary on the AIO website.

Total Reports Received Over the Past 5 Years	2017-2018	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
	845	1041	1886	1719	1539

Total Reports Received by Quarter for 2021 - 2022	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*
	504	547	404	37	41	6

II. Sanctioning Guidelines

The Administrative Sanctioning Guidelines aim to establish a structured, consistent, and transparent approach to decision-making, emphasizing desired behaviors and allowing for professional judgment. Disciplinary actions are based on a point value system derived from objective criteria. The focus is to provide an objective baseline for disciplinary actions and counsel students on the importance of academic integrity and the escalating severity of violations throughout their academic and professional progression.

Violation History	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
1st	473	502	356	35	38	6	91%
2nd	30	43	44	1	2	1	8%
3rd+	1	4	3	1	1	0	1%

Violation Type	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Minor	59	113	99	10	15	3	19%
Moderate	157	144	155	6	10	1	30%
Serious	261	237	129	12	14	2	42%
Egregious	27	54	19	8	2	0	7%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Minor	Total	%
HW/Assignment Misconduct	163	10%
Limited Plagiarism	78	5%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Moderate	Total	%
Minor Violation on Multiple Assessments (HW/Assignment Misconduct)	152	10%
Low-Stakes test (<10%)	81	5%
Extensive Plagiarism	62	4%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Serious	Total	%
Exam (>10%)	503	32%
Extensive Plagiarism (Entire Paper not the Students)	53	3%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Egregious	Total	%
Serious Violation on Multiple Assessments (Exam >10%)	46	3%
Contract Cheating	47	3%

Student Academic Level	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Undergraduate	447	508	370	36	41	6	91%
Masters	57	36	32	1	0	0	8%
Ph.D.	0	5	1	0	0	1	0%

Proposed Disciplinary Action	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Warning	46	88	89	9	14	3	16%
Disciplinary Probation	150	131	126	6	8	0	27%
Deferred Suspension w/ IMP	215	218	108	12	14	1	37%
Quarter Suspension	64	72	36	9	3	1	12%
Year Suspension	24	33	38	0	1	2	6%
Dismissal	5	7	6	1	1	0	1%

III. Resolution Meetings & Outcomes of Academic Integrity Allegations

Except in the event of an Instructor-Student Resolution, once a student has been reported to the AIO, the case is assigned to a Resolution Appropriate Administrative Authority (Resolution AAA) who notifies the student to schedule a Resolution Meeting. At this meeting, there are three (3) possible outcomes:

- the student accepts responsibility or fails to schedule their meeting and is assumed to have accepted responsibility;
- the student does not accept responsibility but the evidence is sufficient, so the case proceeds to the [Academic Integrity Review](#) process. In this situation, it will be the AI Review Panel who will make a decision of the case, either holding the student responsible for an academic integrity violation or not;
- the student does not accept responsibility and presents some relevant evidence that the Resolution AAA shares with the Instructor who subsequently withdraws the allegation.

Resolution AAA Type	College Dean of Student Affairs	Assistant Dean, GEPA	Academic Integrity Office
	395 (25%)	75 (5%)	1071 (70%)

Overall Resolution Outcome		%
Responsible	1419	91%
Not Responsible	136	9%

Total Cases by Assigned Resolution AAA Type		%	
Informal Resolution	Accepted Responsibility	1028	77%
	<i>I-S Resolution</i>	89	6%
	<i>Failure to Respond</i>	82	5%
	Allegation Withdrawn	83	5%
Formal Resolution	Contested the Allegation	273	18%
	<i>Held Responsible</i>	220	14%
	<i>Held Not Responsible</i>	53	4%

Assigned Disciplinary Action	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Warning	141	160	168	11	17	3	32%
Disciplinary Probation	162	163	96	14	12	0	29%
Deferred Suspension w/ IMP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Quarter Suspension	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Year Suspension	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Dismissal	1	3	0	1	0	0	0%

Business Days to Resolve	Average	Min	Max
Accepted Responsibility	23	1	183
Failure to Respond	30	9	134
Allegation Withdrawn	36	2	100
AI Review Board	109	45	254
<i>AIR I</i>	89	45	180
<i>AIR II</i>	124	58	254

IV. Appeals

During the AI process, students may be eligible for two types of appeals: (1) AI Review Board Decision; and (2) Administrative Sanction (if suspension or dismissal is assigned). Students must meet specific criteria to be eligible for each appeal type and only one appeal may be submitted per type. Once a decision has been made, the outcome is final. Learn more about [appeals on the AIO website](#).

Total Appeals Received by Type	AIRB Appeal	Sanction Appeal
	55	56

AI Review Board Appeal Outcomes						
Type	AIR Decision Upheld	%	New AIR Granted	%	No Appeal Received	%
AIR I	17	17%	0	0%	85	83%
AIR II	38	32%	0	0%	79	68%

Sanction Appeal Outcomes								
Type	Denied (No Change)	%	Reduced	%	Qtr Change	%	No Appeal Received	%
Deferred Susp w/ IMP	13	4%	0	0%	0	0%	355	96%
Quarter Suspension	18	25%	3	4%	7	10%	43	61%
Year Suspension	7	30%	2	9%	1	4%	13	57%
Dismissal	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	3	60%